# SUPREME COURT MINUTES FRIDAY, JULY 20, 2001 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

3rd Dist. Paul Eugene Robinson, Petitioner

C037991

V.

S097774

Sacramento County Superior Court, Respondent

People, Real Party in Interest

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to and including August 17, 2001, or the date upon which review is either granted or denied.

S031423 People, Respondent

 $\mathbf{V}$ 

Gregory Allen Sturm, Appellant

The application of appellant for an extension of time to file appellant's opening brief is denied.

S041008 People, Respondent

v.

Jaime Armando Hoyos, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including September 24, 2001.

S028970 People, Respondent

v.

Richard Stitely, Appellant

Appellant's application to file a brief in excess of the page limit is granted.

S097222 People, Respondent

v.

Alfonso Cortez Crossdale, Appellant

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, John Steinberg is hereby appointed to represent appellant on his appeal now pending in this court.

Appellant's brief on the merits shall be served and filed on or before thirty (30) days from the date respondent's opening brief on the merits is filed.

#### S097394 In re **William Wong Woo** on Discipline

It is ordered that William Wong Woo, State Bar No. 98489, be suspended from the practice of law for 18 months, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be actually suspended from the practice of law for 120 days, as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed on February 14, 2001, as modified by its order filed March 14, 2001, and until the State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate his actual suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California. He is also ordered to comply with the conditions of probation, if any, hereinafter imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for terminating his actual suspension. If William Wong **Woo** is actually suspended for two years or more, he shall remain actually suspended until he provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. It is further ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

\*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

### S097550 In re **John Raymond Dempsey** on Discipline

It is ordered that **John Raymond Dempsey**, **State Bar No. 102658**, be suspended from the practice of law in the State of California for a period of two years, that execution of the two-year suspension be stayed, and that he actually suspended from the practice of law for nine months and until: he attends and successfully completes the State Bar Ethics School and provides satisfactory proof of his completion to the State Bar's Probation Unit in Los Angeles; the State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate his actual suspension pursuant to rule 205, Rules of Procedure of the State Bar, and; if the period of his actual suspension reaches or exceeds two years, he shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, present fitness to practice, and present learning and ability in the general law in accordance with standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, as

recommended by the Review Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed March 16, 2001. John Raymond Dempsey is also ordered to comply with the conditions of probation, if any, that are reasonably related to the misconduct found in this proceeding and that are hereafter imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for terminating his actual suspension. It is further ordered that he comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.7.

\*See Business and Professions Code section 6126, subdivision (c).

#### S097612 In re **Sam L. Stone** on Discipline

It is ordered that Sam L. Stone, State Bar No. 37716, be suspended from the practice of law for two years and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for five years subject to the conditions of probation, including 12 months actual suspension, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on January 24, 2001, as modified by its order filed March 14, 2001. Credit towards the period of actual suspension shall be given for the period of interim suspension which commenced on June 22, 2000. (In re Young (1989) 49 Cal.3d 257, 270.) It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

#### S097615 In re **Richard Allen Martin** on Discipline

It is hereby ordered that **Richard Allen Martin**, **State Bar No. 55884**, be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. Respondent is also ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and to

perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

### S097622 In re **Patricia L. Reber** on Discipline

It is ordered that Patricia L. Reber, State Bar No. 84460, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that she be actually suspended for six months and until she makes restitution to Terri L. Meiggs (or the Client Security Fund, if appropriate) in the amount of \$1000 plus 10% interest per annum from July 21, 1997, and furnishes satisfactory proof thereof to the Probation Unit, State Bar Office of the Chief Trial Counsel, as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed on January 5, 2001, as modified by its orders filed February 28 and March 22, 2001; and until the State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate her actual suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the conditions of probation, if any, hereinafter imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for terminating her actual suspension. If respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, she shall remain actually suspended until she provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of her rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. It is further ordered that respondent take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order or during the period of her actual suspension, whichever is longer. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that respondent comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that she perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

\*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

### S097623 In re **Mark Robert Moore** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Mark Robert Moore**, **State Bar No. 74804**, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for 18 months on condition that he be actually suspended for 30 days. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation, including restitution, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed March 21, 2001. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in equal installments for membership years 2002 and 2003.

## S097624 In re **Richard James Cooper** on Discipline

It is hereby ordered that **Richard James Cooper**, **State Bar No. 88156**, be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. He is also ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

#### S099118 In re **David L. Ganezer** on Discipline

The voluntary resignation of **David L. Ganezer**, **State Bar No. 134337**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against respondent should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 60 and 70 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)